Thresholds: Situational Dependent?

WHITNEY CROW, JEFF GORE, ANGUS CATCHOT, DON COOK





Introduction

Number One Goal

- Best management practices
 - Economically sound

Decision Making Process



The Decision -Making Process







Economic Injury Level (EIL)

(EIL) = "The lowest population density of a pest that will cause economic damage; or the amount of pest injury which will justify the cost of control."





Economic Threshold (ET)

The level of pest infestation at which management action is justified







FACTORS THAT IMPACT ET



Dynamics of Economic Pest Densities

| | Higher Pest Populations (more pest tolerated) | Lower Pest Populations (fewer pest tolerated) |
|----------------------------|--|--|
| MANAGEMENT COST | | |
| CROP VALUE | | |
| DAMAGE | | |
| TREATMENT EFFECTIVENESS | | |

University of California, Sacramento Valley Orchard Source





Recommendations are built on research





Does one size fit all?

• No

- Guideline for management
- Every situation is different
- The end goal doesn't change



Potential Topics for Consideration

Environmental conditions

- Compensation
- Stresses
- Tolerance

Budgets and yield



Compensation and/or Stresses





Increased Plant Tolerances





Yield Potential and Budgets

- Example:
 - Yield Goal: 100 bushels
 - Market: \$5
 - Budgeted Expenses: \$300

| | 100 Bushels | 50 Bushels |
|----------|-------------|------------|
| Value | 500 | 250 |
| Expenses | 300 | 300 |
| Profit | 200 | -50 |





Adjusting Insect Management

- Rice Stink Bug in Sorghum (https://extensionentomology.tamu.edu/sorghum-rice-stink-bug-calculator/)
 - Cost, Value, and Heads/A

| High | Grain Value, \$/100 LB | | | | low | Grain Value, \$/100 LB | | | |
|---------------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|------|---------------------|------------------------|------------|-----------|------|
| | 6 | 7 | 8 | 10 | | 6 | 7 | 8 | 10 |
| Control Cost | | | | | Control Cost | | | | |
| \$/A | Ric | e Stink B | ug Per He | ead | \$/A | Rie | ce Stink B | ug Per He | ad |
| 6 | 0.63 | 0.56 | 0.48 | 0.39 | 6 | 1.7 | 1.12 | 0.96 | 0.78 |
| 8 | 0.84 | 0.72 | 0.63 | 0.51 | 8 | 1.68 | 1.44 | 1.26 | 1.02 |
| 10 | 1.06 | 0.9 | 0.79 | 0.63 | 10 | 2.12 | 1.8 | 1.58 | 1.26 |
| 12 | 1.29 | 1.09 | 0.95 | 0.76 | 12 | 2.58 | 2.18 | (1.9) | 1.52 |



Adjusting Insect Management

| High | Grain Value, \$/100 LB | | | Low | Grain Value, \$/100 LB | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|------|------|------|-------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| | 6 7 8 10 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 10 | | | | |
| Control Cost | | | | | Control Cost | | | | |
| \$/A | Rice Stink Bug Per Head | | | \$/A | Rice Stink Bug Per Head | | | | |
| 6 | 0.63 | 0.56 | 0.48 | 0.39 | 6 | 1.7 | 1.12 | 0.96 | 0.78 |
| 8 | 0.84 | 0.72 | 0.63 | 0.51 | 8 | 1.68 | 1.44 | 1.26 | 1.02 |
| 10 | 1.06 | 0.9 | 0.79 | 0.63 | 10 | 2.12 | 1.8 | 1.58 | 1.26 |
| 12 | 1.29 | 1.09 | 0.95 | 0.76 | 12 | 2.58 | 2.18 | 1.9 | 1.52 |



| Table | 1. Eco | nomic t | hresholds | for corn | earworm |
|--------|--------|---------|------------|----------|---------|
| larvae | based | on swe | ep net san | ıpling. | |

| | Larvae/25 sweeps | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------------------|--------------------------|------|------|------|--|--|--|--|
| | | Control Costs (\$/acre)1 | | | | | | | |
| Crop value (\$/bu) | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | | | | |
| 6 | 7.4 | 11.0 | 14.7 | 18.4 | 22.1 | | | | |
| 7 | 6.3 | 9.5 | 12.6 | 15.8 | 18.9 | | | | |
| 8 | 5.5 | 8.3 | 11.0 | 13.8 | 16.5 | | | | |
| 9 | 4.9 | 7.4 | 9.8 | 12.3 | 14.7 | | | | |
| 10 | 4.4 | 6.6 | 8.8 | 11.0 | 13.2 | | | | |
| 12 | 3.7 | 5.5 | 7.4 | 9.2 | 11.0 | | | | |
| 13 | 3.4 | 5.1 | 6.8 | 8.5 | 10.2 | | | | |

Based on early-planted Maturity Group IV soybean varieties with >50 bu/acre yield potential. ¹Including application costs. Table 2. Economic thresholds for corn earworm larvae based on drop cloth sampling.

| | Larvae/row foot | | | | | | | | |
|------------|--------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|--|--|--|--|
| | Control Costs (\$/acre)1 | | | | | | | | |
| Crop value | | | | | | | | | |
| (\$/bu) | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | | | | |
| 6 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.9 | | | | |
| 7 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 2.5 | | | | |
| 8 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 2.2 | | | | |
| 9 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 2.0 | | | | |
| 10 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.8 | | | | |
| 12 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.5 | | | | |
| 13 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.4 | | | | |

Based on early-planted Maturity Group IV soybean varieties with >50 bu/acre yield potential. ¹Including application costs.

Dynamic Thresholds



Continuous Defoliation on Soybean Yields





Remember:

- Dynamic thresholds
 - Great
 - Not always feasible
- ET and EIL
 - Take time
 - Complex





At the end of day:

- Remember ETs
 - Serve as a guideline
 - Start point for the decisionmaking process
- Goal
 - Economically sound decision







QUESTIONS?

WHITNEY CROW 901-592-8787 WDC165@MSSTATE.EDU

